(1)

(12)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR C.G.)

W.P.NO. 1162 /2001

PETITIONERS : 1. K.D.Saggar, s/o Hansraj Saggar
High School road, Takhatpur,
Bilaspsur (CG).

2. R.N.L.Devangan, S/o Shri R.S.
Devangan, Block Office Road,
Takhatpur, Bilaspur [CG]

3. Arjun Singh Thakur, S/o Battu
Singh Thakur, Umaria P.O. Beeja,
Tah-Takhatpur, Bilaspur [CG]

4. F.R.Srivas, S/o B.P.Srivas,
Pathakpara,Takhatpur,
Bilaspur[CG]

5. R.K.Tiwari, S/o Shri G.P.Tiwari,
Guptapara, Takhatpur,Bilaspur
[CG]

6. W.Bennett S/o Late E Bannett
Old Mission Compound, Block
Office Road, Takhatpur,
Bilaspur[CG]

7. Smt. Vimla Devi Shukla, Widow
of Late K.L.Shukla, Takhatpur,
Bilaspur [CG].

8. Smt. Vimla Mishra, Widow of
Late R.K.Mishra, R/o Post
Belsari, viaTakhatpur, Bilaspur
[CG]

P. R. No. 1159/01
Presented by Shri Arvind Dubey
dated 7.7.01

Versus

13

RESPONDENTS -

1. State of Chhatisgarh through Secretary, Education Department, Government of Chhatisgarh, Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Jhamaklal Motilal Pandey Higher Secondary School Takhatpur, Distt: Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer, Bilaspur (Chhatisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTIUTUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(31)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1182/01 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

06-08-2004

Shri Shailendra Shukla, Counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Vinod Shrivastava, Govt. Advocate, for the State.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter relates to non-payment of the pension to the petitioners. He further submits that the case of the petitioners is similar to one, which is reported in ***1987 MPLJ 509 (C.A. Bhakhare Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh & Others***).

Learned counsel for the State submits that for the present the petitioners may make the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make the detailed representation raising their grievances before the competent authority of the respondents, along with a copy of the petition and this order, within one month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents, shall decide the same on its own merits by a reasoned order in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation and the amount, if found legally due, be paid

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

32

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	to the petitioners. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	